arXiv:1209.4094v1 [math.OA] 18 Sep 2012

CONSTRUCTIONS OF ENVELOPING ACTIONS

DAMIÁN FERRARO

Abstract. We give a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of the enveloping action of a partial action on a C*-algebra. The construction of the enveloping action is first performed in a purely algebraic context to explore the possibility of extending the result to general algebras.

Introduction

At the set theoretic level a partial action α of a group G on a set X is a pair $\alpha = (\{\alpha_t\}_{t\in G}, \{X_t\}_{t\in G})$ such that: $\alpha_t : X_{t^{-1}} \to X_t$ is a bijection, $X_e = X$, α_e is the identity on X, $\alpha_t(X_{t^{-1}} \cap X_s) = X_t \cap X_{ts}$ and the restriction of α_{st} to $X_{t^{-1}s^{-1}} \cap X_{t^{-1}}$ is $\alpha_s \circ \alpha_t$. The action is global if $X_t = X$ for every $t \in G$.

If β is a partial action of G on a set Y, a morphism $\phi : \alpha \to \beta$ is a function $\phi : X \to Y$ such that $\phi(X_t) \subset Y_t$ and $\beta_t\phi = \phi\alpha_t$. The restriction of β to the set $Z \subset Y$ is the partial action γ such that γ_t is the restriction of β_t to $Z_t := Z \cap Y_t$.

An enveloping pair of α is a pair (μ, β) such that β is a global action of G on a set Y, μ is an isomorphism from α to the restriction of β to $\mu(X)$ and $\cup\{\beta_t(\mu(X) : t \in G\} = Y$; β is called an enveloping action and Y an enveloping space. Two enveloping pairs of α, (μ, β) and (ν, γ), are isomorphic (as enveloping pairs) if there exists an isomorphism $\phi : \beta \to \gamma$ such that $\phi \circ \mu = \nu$.

In [1] F. Abadie proves that every partial action has an enveloping pair which is unique up to isomorphism. Moreover, this is also true in the context of continuous partial actions on topological spaces. But the topological properties of the enveloping space may be different form those of the original space. For example, if the original space is locally compact and Hausdorff (LCH) the enveloping space may not. This fact is related to the existence of enveloping pairs in the context of commutative C*-algebras [1].

A partial action α of the group G on the algebra A is a set theoretic partial action such that every A_t is an ideal of A and α_t is a homomorphism (multiplicative and linear). A morphism of partial action on algebras is a morphism of actions which is also a homomorphism. A pair (μ, β) is an enveloping pair of α if μ is an isomorphism from α to the restriction of β to the ideal $\mu(A)$ and $\beta[\mu(A)] := \operatorname{span}\{\beta_t(\mu(A)) : t \in G\} = B$, here B is the algebra where β acts. Two globalizations are isomorphic if they are isomorphic as set theoretic partial actions through a morphism of algebras.

Date: September 20, 2012.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L05. Secondary 46L55, 46L40.

Key words and phrases. Partial actions, Enveloping actions.

This work started during my visit to the *Departamento de Matemática* of the *Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina*. I want to thank Professors Alcides Buss and Ruy Exel for recieving me there.

Dokuchaev and Exel [2] gave a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of an enveloping pair for partial actions on unital algebras. But the non unital case is still open and it is not known if enveloping pairs are unique up to isomorphisms.

In the context of C*-algebras the definition of (C*-)partial action are almost the same as in the algebraic situation. We require the morphism to be $*-$preserving, the ideals closed and we say (μ,β) is a C*-enveloping pair of α if μ is an isomorphisms from α to the restriction of β to the ideal $\mu(A)$ and $\beta[\mu(A)]$ is dense in B. In case G is a LCH topological group, to say α is a partial action of G on A we also require the continuity of

$$\{(a,t)\in A\times G:\ a\in A_{t^{-1}}\}\to A,\ (a,t)\mapsto \alpha_t(a).$$

We will not make any assumption about the continuity of the family of ideals of α because that is a necessary condition for the existence of an enveloping pair.

The uniqueness of enveloping pairs, up to isomorphisms, was proved by F. Abadie in [1], where he also gives a criterion to determine the existence of enveloping actions for partial action on commutative C*-algebras. The result of Dokuchaev and Exel mentioned before is valid in this context, this can be proved making minor modifications to their construction of the enveloping action. We will obtain this (Corollary 2.7) as a consequence of our main theorem.

The aim of this work is to give a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of enveloping actions of LCH groups on C*-algebras, this is done in the last section of this article. In the first part we deal with those computations that do not involve *-topological arguments, so we work with partial action on algebras and we will make some assumptions that clearly hold in the C*-algebraic framework.

1. PARTIAL ACTION ON ALGEBRAS

1.1. **Algebraic notation.**

As the reader will note, most of the definitions we are going to use are modifications of the ones that appear in [1] and [2].

The letters A and B will represent $k-$algebras and I an ideal (always bilateral). The intersection of the left and right annihilator of I in A is the set $\mathrm{Ann}(I,A) := \{a\in A \mid ab=ba=0\ \forall\ b\in I\}$. The annihilator of A is $\mathrm{Ann}(A):=\mathrm{Ann}(A,A)$.

A multiplier of A is a pair (L,R) of linear functions $L,R: A\to A$ such that $L(ab)=L(a)b$, $R(ab)=aR(b)$ and $aL(b)=R(a)b$ for all $a,b\in A$. For the multiplier $m=(L,R)$ we will use the notation $ma:=L(a)$ and $am:=R(a)$.

The multiplier algebra of A, denoted $M(A)$, is the set of multipliers of A with coordinate-wise addition and scalar multiplication and the product $(L,R)(L',R')=(LL',R'R)$.

For every ideal I of A there is a canonical map $\phi: A\to M(I)$ where $\phi(a)j:=aj$ and $j\phi(a)=ja$. It is immediate that $\mathrm{Ker}(\phi)=\mathrm{Ann}(I,A)$. Then, for $I=A$, ϕ is injective if and only if $\mathrm{Ann}(A)=\{0\}$.

1.2. **Construction of an enveloping action.**

Given a partial action α on an algebra we want to attack two problems. One is to find conditions, on α, that ensure the existence of enveloping pairs and the other is to find conditions that ensure the uniqueness of this pair, up to isomorphisms.

In this section we assume α is a partial action of G on the algebra A and (μ,β) is an enveloping pair of α. The algebra where G acts by means of β will be named B and it will be convenient to say (μ,β) has trivial annihilator if $\mathrm{Ann}(B)=\{0\}$.

To try to solve the first question let's try to describe (μ, β) with structures based on α.

The set of functions from G to A, $\mathcal{F}(G, A)$, is a $k-$algebra with point wise operations. Each element of $\mathcal{F}(G, B)$ defines a multiplier of $\mathcal{F}(G, A)$ because $\mathcal{F}(G, A)$ is isomorphic to the ideal $\mathcal{F}(G, \mu(A))$ of $\mathcal{F}(G, B)$. The multiplier associated to $f \in \mathcal{F}(G, B)$, m_f, is given by

$$(m_f c)(r) := \mu^{-1}(f(r)\mu(c(r))),\ (cm_f)(r) := \mu^{-1}(\mu(c(r))f(r)).$$

There is also an inclusion $\iota : B \to \mathcal{F}(G, B)$ where $\iota(b)(r) = \beta_{r^{-1}}(b)$. This gives us a map $\pi : B \to M(\mathcal{F}(G, A))$, $\pi(b) = m_{\iota(b)}$.

Remark 1.1. $\mathrm{Ker}(\pi) = \mathrm{Ann}(B)$.

Proof. As μ is injective $b \in \mathrm{Ker}(\pi)$ iff $b\beta_r(\mu(a)) = \beta_r(\mu(a))b = 0$ for every $r \in G$ and $a \in A$. That is to say $b \in \mathrm{Ann}(B)$ because $\beta[\mu(A)] = B$. ☐

On the other hand we have a representation of G in the group or automorphisms of $\mathcal{F}(G, A)$, $Aut(\mathcal{F}(G, A))$. This representation is $\lambda : G \to Aut(\mathcal{F}(G, A))$, $\lambda_s(f)(r) = f(s^{-1}r)$.

The proofs of the following facts are left to the reader.

Lemma 1.2. *If* $m = (L, R) \in M(\mathcal{F}(G, A))$ *and* $s \in G$ *then*

$$Ad_s(m) := (\lambda_s L \lambda_{s^{-1}}, \lambda_s R \lambda_{s^{-1}}) \in M(\mathcal{F}(G, A)).$$

Besides, Ad is a global action of G *on* $M(\mathcal{F}(G, A))$, *in other words* $Ad : G \to Aut(M(\mathcal{F}(G, A)))$ *is morphism of groups.*

Lemma 1.3. $\pi : \beta \to Ad$ *is a morphism of actions.*

From the previous Lemma it follows that $\pi(B)$ is an invariant subalgebra of $M(\mathcal{F}(G, A))$, that $\pi(\mu(A))$ is an ideal of $\pi(B)$ and that $Ad[\pi(\mu(A))] = \pi(B)$.

Definition 1.4. *The adjoint pair of* (μ, β) *is* (μ', Ad'), *where* $\mu' = \pi \circ \mu$ *and* Ad' *is the restriction of* Ad *to* $\pi(B)$. *In this situation* π, μ' *and* Ad' *will be called the adjoint morphism, inclusion and action of* (μ, β), *respectively.*

It is clear that $\mu' : \alpha \to Ad'$ is a morphism and is natural to ask if the adjoint pair is an enveloping pair, if it is, it should be isomorphic to the original enveloping pair and will be determined by it's adjoin morphism.

Lemma 1.5. $\mathrm{Ker}(\mu') = \mu^{-1}(\mathrm{Ann}(B))$. *Besides, for every* $t \in G$,

$$\mu'(A) \cap Ad'_t(\mu'(A)) = \pi\left[\mu(A) \cap (\beta_t(\mu(A)) + \mathrm{Ann}(B))\right].$$

Proof. Note that $\mu'(a) = 0$ iff $\mu(a) \in \mathrm{Ker}(\pi) = \mathrm{Ann}(B)$. This implies the first claim. The inclusion $\supset$ in the second claim is immediate. For the other one take $x \in \mu'(A) \cap Ad'_t(\mu'(A))$. There are $a, b \in A$ such that $x = \mu'(a) = Ad_t(\mu'(b))$. As π is equivariant $\mu(a) - \beta_t(\mu(b)) \in \mathrm{Ker}(\pi) = \mathrm{Ann}(B)$. So $\mu(a) \in \mu(A) \cap (\beta_t(\mu(A)) + \mathrm{Ann}(B))$, which implies what we want to prove. ☐

The idea of representing the enveloping action in the multiplier algebra was sugested to me by F. Abadie. The original construction of the enveloping action, for C*-algebras, was different from the one we exposed here and was based on algebras generated by relations. Having the multiplier algebra $M(\mathcal{F}(G, A))$ to represent enveloping actions simplifies many arguments.

Up to here the situation is quite general and, thinking on C*-algebras, it is natural to think that we are looking for enveloping algebras with trivial annihilator. We get an immediate consequence.

Corollary 1.6. *If an enveloping pair of a partial action has trivial annihilator then it is isomorphic to it's adjoint pair.*

Proof. With the notation of the previous Lemma, μ' is injective and

$$\mu'(A)\cap Ad'_t(\mu'(A)) = \pi(\mu(A)\cap\beta_t(\mu(A))) = \pi^{(}\mu(A_t)) = \mu'(A_t).$$

This proves that (μ', Ad') is an enveloping pair of α. The second claim follows immediately from the fact that $\pi:\beta\to Ad'$ is an isomorphism of actions such that $\pi\circ\mu=\mu'$. ☐

Now we give a tool to identify isomorphic enveloping pairs.

Theorem 1.7. *Two enveloping pairs of α with trivial annihilator are isomorphic if and only if their adjoint inclusions are equal, in which case their adjoint pairs agree.*

Proof. Take to enveloping pairs of α, (μ,β) and (ν,γ), and call B and C the algebras where β and γ act, respectively.

If $\mu'=\nu'$ then the adjoint actions will be equal because both will be the restriction of Ad to $Ad[\mu'(A)]$. Then (μ,β) is isomorphic to (ν,γ) because both are isomorphic to their enveloping pairs and those pairs are equal.

To prove the direct implication let's assume that $\rho:\beta\to\gamma$ is an isomorphism such that $\rho\circ\mu=\nu$. Note that $\mu'=\nu'$ iff, for every $a,b\in A$ and $t\in G$, the following equalities hold:

$$\mu^{-1}(\beta_t(\mu(a))\mu(b)) = \nu^{-1}(\gamma_t(\nu(a))\nu(b)) \text{ and}$$
$$\mu^{-1}(\mu(b)\beta_t(\mu(a))) = \nu^{-1}(\nu(b)\gamma_t(\nu(a))).$$

We prove the first equality, the other is left to the reader.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu^{-1}(\beta_t(\mu(a))\mu(b)) &= \nu^{-1}(\gamma_t(\nu(a))\nu(b))\\
&\Leftrightarrow \rho\circ\mu\circ\mu^{-1}(\beta_t(\mu(a))\mu(b)) = \nu\circ\nu^{-1}(\gamma_t(\nu(a))\nu(b))\\
&\Leftrightarrow \rho(\beta_t(\mu(a)))\rho(\mu(b)) = \gamma_t(\nu(a))\nu(b)\\
&\Leftrightarrow \gamma_t(\rho\circ\mu(a))\rho\circ\mu(b) = \gamma_t(\nu(a))\nu(b)\\
&\Leftrightarrow \gamma_t(\nu(a))\nu(b) = \gamma_t(\nu(a))\nu(b)
\end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof. ☐

In some cases this is easy to use.

Corollary 1.8. *If α is a partial action of G on the algebra A and $\operatorname{Ann}(A_t)=\{0\}$ for every $t\in G$ then there is, at most, one enveloping pair of α (up to isomorphism) with trivial annihilator. In particular, this happens when each A_t is unital.*

Proof. Assume there exists an enveloping pair (μ,β) with trivial annihilator. What we are going to do is to show that μ' is determined by α.

Take $a\in A$, $r\in G$ and $f\in\mathcal{F}(G,A)$ and note that

$$[\mu'(a)f](r)\in\mu^{-1}(\beta_{r^{-1}}(\mu(A))\cap\mu(A)) = A_{r^{-1}}.$$

On the other hand, for every $d \in A_{r^{-1}}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}[\mu'(a)f](r)d &= \mu^{-1}(\beta_{r^{-1}}(\mu(a))\mu(f(r)))d = \mu^{-1}(\beta_{r^{-1}}(\mu(a))\mu(f(r)d)) \\ &= \mu^{-1}(\beta_{r^{-1}}(\mu(a\alpha_r(f(r)d)))) = \alpha_{r^{-1}}(a\alpha_r(f(r)d)).\end{aligned}$$

In a similar way we prove that $d[\mu'(a)f](r) = \alpha_{r^{-1}}(\alpha_r(d)f(r))a$. This uniquely determines μ'. So the is, at most, one adjoint inclussion. The rest follows from Theorem 1.7. □

We now want to give a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of an enveloping pair with trivial annihilator. Of course that this will be quite general and useless in most cases.

The family associated to the enveloping pair (μ, β) is $(F_t)_{t\in G}$ where

$$F_t : A \times A \to A_t,\ F_t(a,b) := \mu^{-1}(\beta_t(\mu(a))\mu(b)).$$

Recall that the pair (μ', Ad') is determined by μ' and α. In turn, μ' is determined by the family $(F_t)_{t\in G}$. This motivates the following definition.

Definition 1.9. *An enveloping family of functions for α is a family of functions $(F_t)_{t\in G}$ such that:*

(I) each $F_t : A \times A \to A_t$ is linear on both variables and F_e is the product of A.

(II) for every $t \in G$ and $a, b, c \in A$ the following equalities hold:

$$F_t(a, bc) = F_t(a,b)c, \quad \alpha_t(F_{t^{-1}}(ab, c)) = a\alpha_t(F_{t^{-1}}(b,c))$$

$$\text{and} \ \ aF_t(b,c) = \alpha_t(F_{t^{-1}}(a,b))c.$$

(III) if, besides, $s \in G$ we also have that

$$F_t(F_s(a,b), c) = F_{ts}(a, F_t(b,c)) \ \text{and}$$

$$F_t(\alpha_{s^{-1}}[F_s(a,b)], c) = F_t(a, F_{ts^{-1}}(b,c)).$$

(IV) $a = 0$ iff $F_t(a,b) = F_t(b,a) = 0$ for all $t \in G$ and $b \in G$.

(V) if $a \in A_{t^{-1}}$ then

$$F_{st}(a,b) = F_s(\alpha_t(a), b) \ \text{and} \ \alpha_{st}\left(F_{t^{-1}s^{-1}}(b,a)\right) = \alpha_s\left(F_{s^{-1}}(b, \alpha_t(a))\right)$$

for all $s \in G$ and $b \in A$.

(VI) $a \in A_t$ every time that we can find $b \in A$ and $t \in G$ such that $F_s(a,c) = F_{st}(b,c)$ and $F_s(c,a) = \alpha_t(F_{t^{-1}s}(c,b))$ for all $s \in G$ and $c \in A$.

Two families of enveloping function are equal if for every $t \in G$ the corresponding function agree.

When working with C*-algebras we have to take into account the $*-$operation. But this adds only one equation and we get redundant information, see Lemma 2.4.

With the next result we close this section. It does not give a useful characterization of those partial actions admitting an enveloping pair because the existence of the enveloping action (with trivial annihilator) depends on the existence of a family of enveloping functions.

Theorem 1.10. *The family of function associated to an enveloping pair of α, with trivial annihilator, is an enveloping family of functions for α. Moreover, each enveloping family arises in this way and the corresponding enveloping pairs are isomorphic iff their enveloping families are equal.*

Proof. Conditions (I)-(III) and (V) are consequence of the fact that $\mu : \alpha \to \beta$ is injective and a morphism. Meanwhile, (IV) follows form the fact that $\beta[\mu(A)] = B$ and $\mathrm{Ann}(B) = \{0\}$. Finally, (VI) is a consequence of the fact that Ad_t and μ are injective and $Ad_t(\mu(A)) \cap \mu(A) = \mu(A_t)$.

For the converse take an enveloping family of functions for α, $F = (F_t)_{t\in G}$, and set $\mu : A \to M(\mathcal{F}(G, A))$ as

$$[\mu(a)f](r) = F_{r^{-1}}(a, f(r)) \text{ and } [f\mu(a)](r) = \alpha_{r^{-1}}(F_r(f(r), a)).$$

The first three condition of Definition 1.9 imply that $\mu(a)$ is a multiplier and that μ is multiplicative and linear. The fourth condition implies that μ_F is injective and the fifth that $\mu : \alpha \to Ad$ is a morphism.

Now let's define $B := Ad[\mu(A)]$ (the space generated by the orbit of $\mu(A)$). Clearly, this subspace is Ad invariant. To see show it is an algebra note that the third condition of Definition 1.9 implies

$$Ad_t(\mu(a))Ad_s(\mu(b)) = Ad_s(\mu(F_{s^{-1}t}(a, b))).$$

The previous equality implies $B\mu(A) \subset \mu(A)$. Besides, the same equality together with the fact that μ is a morphism, implies that

$$\mu(A)Ad_s(\mu(A)) \subset Ad_s(\mu(F_{s^{-1}}(A, A))) \subset \mu(A_s) \subset \mu(A).$$

Then $\mu(A)B \subset \mu(A)$ and we have that $\mu(A)$ is an ideal of B. By the last property of the Definition 1.9 $Ad_t(\mu(A)) \cap \mu(A) = \mu(A_t)$.

Now let's prove that $\mathrm{Ann}(B) = \{0\}$. Assume that $\sum_i Ad_{s_i}(\mu(a_i)) \in \mathrm{Ann}(B)$. Multiplying on the right by elements of the form $Ad_t(\mu(b))$ we conclude that $Ad_t(\sum_i \mu(F_{t^{-1}s_i}(a_i, b))) = 0$ for all $t \in G$ and $b \in A$. As $Ad_t \circ \mu$ is injective we have that $\sum_i F_{t^{-1}s_i}(a_i, b) = 0$ for all $t \in G$ and $b \in A$. Now we can say that $\sum_i Ad_{s_i}(\mu(a_i))f = 0$ for all $f \in \mathcal{F}(G, A)$.

On the other hand, to prove that $f\sum_i Ad_{s_i}(\mu(a_i)) = 0$ for every $f \in \mathcal{F}(G, A)$ it is enough to show that $\sum_i \alpha_{r^{-1}s_i}(F_{s^{-1}{}_i r}(b, a_i)) = 0$ for all $b \in A$ and $r \in G$. As $\mu : \alpha \to Ad$ is an injective morphism we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_i \alpha_{r^{-1}s_i}(F_{s^{-1}{}_i r}(b, a_i)) = 0 &\Leftrightarrow \sum_i Ad_{r^{-1}s_i}(\mu(F_{s^{-1}{}_i r}(b, a_i))) = 0\\ &\Leftrightarrow Ad_{r^{-1}}\left(\sum_i Ad_{s_i}(\mu(F_{s^{-1}{}_i r}(b, a_i)))\right) = 0\\ &\Leftrightarrow \sum_i Ad_r(\mu(b))Ad_{s_i}(\mu(a_i)) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

The last equality is a consequence of the fact that $\sum_i Ad_{s_i}(\mu(a_i)) \in \mathrm{Ann}(B)$.

If β is the restriction of Ad to B then we clearly have that (μ, β) is an enveloping pair of α with trivial annihilator and it's family of functions is exactly $(F_t)_{t\in G}$.

To prove the last claim note that (μ, β) is isomorphic to (ν, γ) iff $\mu' = \nu'$, which is equivalent to the equality of the families of functions. □

2. PARTIAL ACTION ON C*-ALGEBRAS

2.1. **Discrete groups.** The notation we are going to use for partial actions in C*-algebras is C*-the same we used before, except for the fact that it will be preceded by "C*-" (see the Introduction). This is done for two reasons, to stress the difference with the purely algebraic situation and because there will be some situations where we want to think partial actions on C*-algebras in the purely algebraic and C*-algebraic case, simultaneously.

Almost by definition, every C*-enveloping pair "contains" an enveloping pair with trivial annihilator. Indeed, if (μ,β) is a C*-enveloping pair for α, considering γ as the restriction of β to $\beta[\mu(A)]$, (μ,γ) is an enveloping pair with trivial annihilator.

As C*-algebras have trivial annihilator, C*-partial action have, up to equivalence, one enveloping pair with trivial annihilator[1] (Corollary 1.8). This agrees with the fact that C*-enveloping pairs are unique up to C*-isomorphism [1].

Surprisingly we will prove that a C*-partial action has a C*-enveloping pair iff it has an enveloping pair.

Remark 2.1. *If α is a partial action of G on the C*-algebra A and it has an enveloping pair, then for every $a,b\in A$, $t\in G$ and approximate unit $\{u_i\}_i$ of $A_{t^{-1}}$ the net $\{\alpha_t(u_ia)b\}_i$ is convergent.*

Proof. Assume (μ,β) is an enveloping pair of α. Consider the elements and the approximate unit of the statement. The element $\mu^{-1}(\beta_t(\mu(a))\mu(b))$ satisfies, for all $c\in A_t$,

$$c\mu^{-1}(\beta_t(\mu(a))\mu(b))=\mu^{-1}(\beta_t(\mu(\alpha_{t^{-1}}(c)a))\mu(b))=\alpha_t(\alpha_{t^{-1}}(c)a)b.$$

Then

$$\mu^{-1}(\beta_t(\mu(a))\mu(b))=\lim_i\alpha_t(u_i)F_t(a,b)=\lim_i\alpha_t(u_ia)b.$$

□

This simple Remark is the key idea, it gives the necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of enveloping and C*-enveloping actions.

Definition 2.2. *A partial action on a C*-algebra satisfies property (NS) if is satisfies the thesis of the previous Remark.*

Remark 2.3. *A C*-partial action α of G in A satisfies property (NS) iff there are dense subsets of A, D_0 and D_1, such that for evey $a\in D_0$, $b\in D_1$ and $t\in G$ there is an approximate unit of $A_{t^{-1}}$, $\{u_i\}_{i\in I}$, such that $\{\alpha_t(u_ia)b\}_{i\in I}$ is a convergent net.*

Proof. The direct implication is trivial. For the converse take $a\in D_0$, $b\in D_1$ and $t\in G$ and let $\{u_i\}_{i\in I}$ be the approximate unit as in the statement. If $\{v_j\}_{j\in J}$ is another approximate unit of $A_{t^{-1}}$ then

$$\lim_j\alpha_t(v_ja)b=\lim_j\lim_i\alpha_t(v_j)\alpha_t(u_ia)b=\lim_i\alpha_t(u_ia)b.$$

Thus the limit depends only of a, b and t and exits for every approximate unit of $A_{t^{-1}}$.

So we have a function $F_t:D_0\times D_1\to A_t$ that sends a pair (a,b) to the limit described above. This function is bounded in the sence that $\|F_t(a,b)\|\leq\|a\|\|b\|$, so it has a unique bounded extention $F_t:A\times A\to A_t$. But $F_t(a,b)=\alpha_t(a)b$ for every $a\in D_0\cap A_{t^{-1}}$ and $b\in A$, this implies the α satisfies property (NS). □

To clarify the exposition we group several computations in the next Lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *If α is a C*-partial action of G on A and $(F_t:A\times A\to A_t)_{t\in G}$ is a family of functions, then this is an enveloping family of functions for α iff*

---

[1] Note the absence of "C*-".

(I') Each F_t *is linear on both variables and* F_e *is the product of* A*.*

(II') for every $a, b, c \in A$ *and* $s, t \in G$

$$F_t(a,b)^* = \alpha_t(F_{t^{-1}}(b^*, a^*)), \ F_t(a, bc) = F_t(a,b)c,$$

$$F_t(F_s(a,b),c) = F_{ts}(a, F_t(b,c)), \ aF_t(b,c) = \alpha_t(F_{t^{-1}}(a,b))c.$$

(III') if $a \in A_{t^{-1}}$ *and* $b \in A$ *then* $F_{st}(a,b) = F_s(\alpha_t(a), b)$*.*

Proof. The direct implication is almost immediate, we just have to prove the first equality in (II'). To do that use Theorem 1.10 and the computations in Remark 2.1.

For the converse note that the second equality in (II) and (V), of Definition 1.9, follows immediately form (II') and (III').

From the previous implications and (II'), (III) follows by computing

$$\begin{aligned} F_t(\alpha_{s^{-1}}[F_s(a,b)],c) &= F_t\left(F_{s^{-1}}(b^*,a^*)^*,c\right)^{**} = \alpha_t\left(F_{t^{-1}}(c^*,F_{s^{-1}}(b^*,a^*))\right)^* \\ &= \alpha_t\left(F_{t^{-1}}(c^*,\alpha_{s^{-1}}\left[F_s(a,b)^*\right])\right)^* = \alpha_{ts^{-1}}\left(F_{s^{-1}t}(c^*,F_s(a,b)^*)\right)^* \\ &= F_{ts^{-1}}(F_s(a,b),c) = F_t(a,F_{ts^{-1}}(b,c)). \end{aligned}$$

Under the hypothesis of (V), by (I'), $ab = 0$ for all $b \in A$, thus $a = 0$.

Finally, for $a, b \in A$ and $t \in G$ as in (VI), taking $s = e$ we conclude that $ac = F_t(b,c) \in A_t$ for all $c \in A$. This forces $a \in A_t$.

□

Theorem 2.5. *For a C*-partial action* α *the following statements are equivalent:*

1. α *has a C*-enveloping pair.*
2. α *has an enveloping pair with trivial annihilator.*
3. α *has a (unique) family of enveloping functions.*
4. α *has an enveloping pair.*
5. α *satisfies property (NS).*

Proof. The equivalence between (2) and (3) is the content of 1.10. Besides, it is immediate that (1) ⇒ (2) ⇒ (4), and (4) ⇒ (5) is the content of Remark 2.1. Now all we have to prove is (5) ⇒ (1). Basically, what we are going to do is to construct an enveloping pair from an enveloping family of functions and form there the enveloping action.

Remark 2.1 indicates how to define the family of enveloping functions. Given $a, b \in A$, $t \in G$, $c \in A_t$ and an approximate unit $\{u_i\}_i$ we have that

$$c \lim_i \alpha_t(u_i a)b = \alpha_t(\alpha_t^{-1}(c)a)b, \quad \text{and} \quad (\lim_i \alpha_t(u_i a)b)c = \alpha_t(a\alpha_t^{-1}(bc)).$$

This uniquely determines the limit and implies it is independent of the approximate unit. This allows us to define $F_t : A \times A \to A_t$ as $F_t(a,b) = \lim_i \alpha_t(u_i a)b$. Now we prove that $(F_t)_{t\in G}$ is a family of enveloping functions using Lemma 2.4.

For convenience $\{u_i^t\}_i$ will denote an approximate unit of A_t. We are not assuming the index set is the same for different t. We start by proving

$$\begin{aligned} F_t(a,b)^* &= \lim_i b^*\alpha_t(a^* u_i^{t^{-1}}) = \lim_i \lim_j \alpha_t\left(\alpha_{t^{-1}}(u_j^t b^*)a^* u_i^{t^{-1}}\right) \\ &= \lim_i \alpha_t\left(F_{t^{-1}}(b^*,a^*)u_i^{t^{-1}}\right) = \alpha_t\left(F_{t^{-1}}(b^*,a^*)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

The second equality of (II') follows directly form the definition of F_t. In the third one both sides of the equation are limit of nets in $A_t \cap A_{ts}$, so it suffices to show that $dF_t(F_s(a,b),c) = dF_{ts}(a, F_t(a,b))$ for all $d \in A_t \cap A_{ts}$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} dF_{ts}(a, F_t(b,c)) &= \alpha_{ts}\left(\alpha_{s^{-1}t^{-1}}(d)a\right) F_t(b,c) = \alpha_t\left(\alpha_{t^{-1}}\left[\alpha_{ts}\left(\alpha_{s^{-1}t^{-1}}(d)a\right) b\right]\right) c \\ &= \alpha_t\left(\alpha_s\left(\alpha_{s^{-1}t^{-1}}(d)a\right) b\right) c = \alpha_t\left(\alpha_{t^{-1}}(d) F_s(a,b)\right) c \\ &= dF_t(F_s(a,b),c) \end{aligned}$$

The last equality in (II') is

$$aF_t(b,c) = \lim_i u_i^t a F_t(b,c) = \lim_i \alpha_t(\alpha_{t^{-1}}(u_i^t a)b)c = \alpha_t(F_{t^{-1}}(a,b))c.$$

In (III') the elements of both sides of the equality belong to $A_{st} \cap A_s$ so it suffices to show that $dF_{st}(a,b) = dF_s(\alpha_t(a), b)$ for every $d \in A_{st} \cap A_s$. But

$$dF_s(\alpha_t(a), b) = \alpha_s\left(\alpha_t\left[\alpha_{t^{-1}s^{-1}}(d)a\right]\right) b = \alpha_{st}(\alpha_{t^{-1}s^{-1}}(d)a)b = dF_{st}(a,b).$$

We have proved α has a family of enveloping functions. Let $\mu_F : A \to B$ and β^F be as in the proof of Theorem 1.10 and $\mathcal{FB}(G,A)$ the C*-algebra of bounded functions from G to A with point wise multiplication and the supremum norm.

The algebra $\mathcal{FB}(G,A)$ is invariant for $\lambda : G \to \mathcal{F}(G,A)$. This gives a natural action γ, in the context of C*-algebras, of G on $M(\mathcal{FB}(G,A))$ defined by the same formula as Ad.

Consider the algebra $Inv(\mathcal{FB}(G,A))$ formed by all the multipliers T of $\mathcal{F}(G,A)$ such that $T\mathcal{FB}(G,A) \subset \mathcal{FB}(G,A)$ and $\mathcal{FB}(G,A)T \subset \mathcal{FB}(G,A)$. This algebra is invariant for $Ad : G \to M(\mathcal{F}(G,A))$ and contains B because it contains $\mu_F(A)$.

The restriction map $res : Inv(\mathcal{FB}(G,A)) \to M(\mathcal{FB}(G,A))$ is a morphism from Ad to γ. This implies $\mu := res \circ \mu_F : \alpha \to \gamma$ is a morphism. It is also injective and a $*-$homomorphism (this follows from direct computations).

Let A^e be the closure of $\gamma[\mu(A)]$ and α^e be the restriction of γ to A^e. We claim that (μ, α^e) is a C*-enveloping pair of α. Indeed, μ is injective and a morphism of C*-partial action and the orbit of $\mu(A)$ is dense in A^e.

As $A^e = \overline{res(\beta^F[\mu_F(A)])}$ and $\mu_F(A)$ is an ideal of $\beta^F[\mu_F(A)]$, $\mu(A) = res(\mu_F(A))$ is an ideal of A^e. Besides

$$\gamma_t(\mu(A)) \cap \mu(A) = \gamma_t(\mu(A))\mu(A) = res(\beta^F_t(\mu_F(A))\mu_F(A)) \subset res(\mu_F(A_t)) = \mu(A_t).$$

This completes the proof. □

2.2. **Continuous partial actions.** In this, the last section, we consider the problem of finding an enveloping action of a continuous partial action of a locally compact and Hausdorff (LCH) group on a C*-algebra. In virtue of Theorem 2.1 of [1] this is action will be unique up to isomorphisms, this fact is implicit in the next arguments.

If G is a LCH group, G_d will be the same group but with the discrete topology. A C*-partial action of G in A is a C*-partial action α of G_d in A such that

$$\{(t,a) \in G \times A : \ a \in A_{t^{-1}}\} \to A, \ (t,a) \to \alpha_t(a), \tag{2}$$

is continuous with the topology relative to $G \times A$. Here we do not require the continuity of the family $\{A_t\}_{t \in G}$ because that is a necessary condition for the existence of an enveloping action.

This notation is coherent with the one we used for discrete groups. To emphasize the difference with the previous situation, we will write α_d when we are speaking of α as a partial action of G_d.

Rephrasing the definition of enveloping actions given by F. Abadie in [1], we get that an enveloping pair of α is a pair (μ,β) where β is a C*-global action of G and (μ,β_d) is a C*-enveloping pair[2] of α_d. Thus, every C*-enveloping pair of α is a C*-enveloping pair of α_d.

This says that α has an enveloping pair if and only if α_d has an enveloping pair (μ,β) with β continuous[3]. But we have a description of (μ,β), the one in Theorem 2.5, so we have to find a way to say β is continuous without mentioning β.

The continuity of β, in the sense of (2), is equivalent to the continuity in the identity element $e\in G$ of the function $G\to B$, $t\mapsto \beta_t(\mu(a))$, for every $a\in A$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\beta_t(\mu(a))-\mu(a)\| &= \sup_{\|g\|\le 1}\sup_{r\in G}\|\beta_t(\mu(a))g(r)-\mu(a)g(r)\|\\ &= \sup_{\|b\|\le 1,\ r\in G}\|F_{rt}(a,b)-F_r(a,b)\|.\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.6. *Let α be a C*-partial action of a LCH group G on a C*-algebra A. Then α has a C*-enveloping pair (that is, has an enveloping action in the sense of* [1]*) if and only if*

(1) α_d satisfies property (NS) and,

(2) If $(F_t)_{t\in G}$ is the unique enveloping family of functions for α_d given by Theorem 2.5 then for every $a\in A$

$$\lim_{t\to e}\sup_{\|b\|\le 1,\ r\in G}\|F_{rt}(a,b)-F_r(a,b)\|=0.$$

Proof. This follows from the previous discussion. By 1) and Theorem 2.5 α_d has an enveloping pair (μ,β) and 2) says β is continuous.

For the converse assume α has a C*-enveloping pair, thus α_d has a C*-enveloping pair and so satisfies property (NS). By the uniqueness this enveloping pair is isomorphic to the enveloping pair of α_d constructed in Theorem 2.5 and this pair is continuous, thus 2) holds. □

The algebraic version of the next result is due to Dokuchaev and Exel [2].

Corollary 2.7. *A C*-partial action of a LCH group G on the unital algebra A has a C*-enveloping pair (i.e. an enveloping action) if and only if each A_t is unital, with unit 1_t, and the function $G\to A$, $t\mapsto 1_t$, is continuous in the identity of G.*

Proof. If α has a C*-enveloping action β, where β acts in B, then $1_A\beta_t(1_A)$ is the unit of A_t and clearly $t\mapsto 1_A\beta_t(1_A)$ is continuous.

Reciprocally, α_d satisfies property (NS) because for each t we may choose the approximate unit $\{1_t\}$ and there is no limit to consider. In this situation we have $F_t(a,b)=\alpha_t(1_{t^{-1}}a)b$, thus

$$F_{rt}(a,b)-F_r(a,b)=\left(\alpha_{rt}(1_{t^{-1}r^{-1}}a)-\alpha_r(1_{r^{-1}}a)\right)b,$$

---

[2] C*-enveloping pairs for discrete groups were previously defined.

[3] The continuity of the action in one of this pairs implies the continuity of the action of all the other enveloping pairs of α_d because they all are isomorphic.

and the supremum in the previous Theorem is $\sup_{r\in G}\|\alpha_{rt}(1_{t^{-1}r^{-1}}a)-\alpha_r(1_{r^{-1}}a)\|$.

To give an upper bound to that supremum we need the following facts: 1_t and 1_s commute because 1_s1_t and 1_t1_s are the unit of $A_t\cap A_s$, $1_t+1_s-1_s1_t$ is the identity of A_t+A_s, $1_t+1_s-1_s1_t\le 1_t+1_s$ and $\alpha_t(1_{t^{-1}}1_s)=1_t1_{ts}$. All this is used to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\alpha_{rt}(1_{t^{-1}r^{-1}}a)-\alpha_r(1_{r^{-1}}a)\|^2\\
&\le \|\left(\alpha_{rt}(1_{t^{-1}r^{-1}}a)-\alpha_r(1_{r^{-1}}a)\right)(1_{rt}+1_r)\left(\alpha_{rt}(1_{t^{-1}r^{-1}}a)-\alpha_r(1_{r^{-1}}a)\right)^*\|\\
&\le \|\left(\alpha_{rt}(1_{t^{-1}r^{-1}}a)-\alpha_r(1_{r^{-1}}a)\right)(1_{rt}+1_r)\|\|a\|2\\
&\le 2\|a\|\left(\|\alpha_{rt}(1_{t^{-1}r^{-1}}a)-1_{rt}\alpha_r(1_{r^{-1}}a)\|+\|\alpha_r(1_{r^{-1}}a)-1_r\alpha_{rt}(1_{t^{-1}r^{-1}}a)\|\right)
\end{aligned}$$

The first summand in the last line is

$$\|\alpha_{rt}(1_{t^{-1}r^{-1}}a)-\alpha_{rt}(1_{t^{-1}r^{-1}}\alpha_{t^{-1}}(1_ta))\|\le\|a-\alpha_{t^{-1}}(1_ta)\|,$$

and the second one is

$$\|\alpha_r(1_{r^{-1}}a)-\alpha_r(1_{r^{-1}}\alpha_t(1_{t^{-1}}a))\|\le\|a-\alpha_t(1_{t^{-1}}a)\|.$$

Thus, for every $r\in G$, we have

$$\|\alpha_{rt}(1_{t^{-1}r^{-1}}a)-\alpha_r(1_{r^{-1}}a)\|^2\le 2\|a\|\left(\|a-\alpha_{t^{-1}}(1_ta)\|+\|a-\alpha_t(1_{t^{-1}}a)\|\right).$$

This, together with the continuity of α and $t\mapsto 1_t$ (in the identity) implies

$$\lim_{t\to e}\sup_{\|b\|\le 1,\ r\in G}\|F_{rt}(a,b)-F_r(a,b)\|=0.$$

□

References

1. Fernando Abadie, *Enveloping actions and Takai duality for partial actions*, J. Funct. Anal. **197** (2003), no 1, 14–6
2. M. Dokuchaev and R. Exel, *Associativity of crossed products by partial actions, enveloping actions and partial representations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **357** (2005), no. 5, 1931–1952.

Departamento de Matemática y Estadística del Litoral, Universidad de la República, Gral. Rivera 1350. Salto. Uruguay.

E-mail address: `dferraro@unorte.edu.uy`